The Graphs They Are a-Changin' Principles, Examples, Software for Data Visualization

Constantin Manuel Bosancianu and Joost van Beek

Doctoral School and Center for Media and Communication Studies, Central European University

April 26, 2012

Things to speak about:

Basics of *good* data visualization;

2 "The good, the bad, and the ugly" when it comes to data visualization - examples;

↓□▶ ↓@▶ ↓ ≧▶ ↓ ≧ ▶ ↓ ○ ♡ < ♡</p>

- Software (open-source, web-based...);
- 4 Discussion time.



There is more data than ever waiting to be analyzed, mined for patterns, summarized, or linked to other data.



Figure: Word birth and death.

(http://www.nature.com/srep/2012/120315/srep00313/full/srep00313.html)

< □ > < □ >



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ => ▲ => ● <</p>



Figure: Immigrant clusters in Amsterdam

▲□▶ ▲□



Figure: Income clusters in Rotterdam

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ = ▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶



We also observe a phenomenal level of growth in individual-level data: Internet, smartphones, automated sensors etc.



Figure: Stephen Wolfram's outgoing e-mail (approximately 300.000)



Figure: Stephen Wolfram's keystrokes (approximately 100 million)

< □

Importance

Presenting this information in an accurate and intuitive way for the purpose of highlighting causal connections will be crucial for our ability to make adequate choices in a democracy.

1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ => ▲ => ● <</p>

• At the confluence between statistics and design, dealing with the search for the most effective and graphically intuitive way of making an argument on the basis of data.

In 2000, an estimated 900 billion (9 * 10¹¹) to 2 trillion (2 * 10¹²) graphs were generated every year (Tufte 2001).

↓□▶
 ↓ ↓ ≡▶
 ↓ □▶
 ↓ ↓ ≡▶
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ↓ ≡ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓
 ↓ ⊕ ↓

Goals of DV

Multiple:

- Making an argument;
- Minimizing any distractions from the central argument;
- Ensuring the integrity of the argument;¹
- Summarizing a lot of information in a reduced space;
- Encouraging comparison.

¹"Making a presentation is a moral act as well as an intellectual activity." (Tufte 2006, 141)

Principles of DV

- The overarching purpose is to show the data;
- Minimize the data-ink ratio, as much as possible;
- Erase non-data-ink, as much as possible;
- Minimize redundant data-ink, as much as possible;
- Revise and edit;
- Mobilize every graphical element needed.²

- Apprehension: Ability to correctly perceive relations among variables
- Clarity: Ability to visually distinguish all the elements of a graph
- Consistency: Ability to interpret a graph based on similarity to previous graphs

- Efficiency: Ability to portray a possibly complex relation in as simple a way as possible
- Necessity: The need for the graph, and the graphical elements
- Truthfulness: Ability to determined the true value represented by any graphical element by its magnitude relative to the implicit or explicit scale³

³Source: D. A. Burn (1993), "Designing Effective Statistical Graphs". In C. R. Rao, ed., *Handbook of Statistics*, vol. 9, Chapter 22.4 € + 4 € + 5 € - 2000

Variable	Model 1	Model 2
Age	.027*** (.005)	.031*** (.006)
Gender	.094 (.174)	.074 (.215)
Education	.191*** (.044)	.055 (.056)
Marital status	.135 (.181)	.095 (.222)
Mobilized	-	.049 (.117)
Political interest	-	.733*** (.150)

Table: Estimates from a logistic regression model predicting likelihood of turnout (Sweden, EES 2009)

<□▶ <⊡▶ <≧▶ <



Figure: Estimates from the regression model in graphical form

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三 りへぐ



Figure: Traditional boxplot

< □ >

< 🗗 ▶

∢ ≣ ≯

► 🗄



Figure: Quartile plot

<□▶ <⊡▶ < ≣

Old Faithful Eruptions (271 samples)



2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ => ▲ => ● <</p>

2.1

Napoleon's 1812-1813 Russian campaign - Charles Joseph Minard.

<□▶ <⊡▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ = =



Figure: Campaign map

<□> <⊡



Figure: Alternative to the map





Figure: Alternative to the map

<□▶ <⊡▶ < 글▶ < 글

► <u></u>

Napoleon's 1812-1813 Russian Campaign

Died Survived



<**□ ▶** <**□ ▶** <**⊇ ▶** <

2.2

The UK Budget - David McCandless.

<□▶ <⊡▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ = =



2.3

Commuters in the US - SENSEable City Laboratory, MIT.

<□▶ <⊡▶ < 글▶ < 글▶ = =



Figure: Commuters - July 2010, AT&T cell phone data

<□> <□> <□> <□

2.4

Welfare benefits in Ontario

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ ▶ < Ξ

Common Sense Revolution

Ontario Welfare Income for a Single Person in 2005 Constant Dollars & Homeless Persons Who Have Died on the Streets of Toronto 1985-2006

(National Council of Welfare & the Toronto Disaster Relief Committee)

C Scott Sørl



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三 りへの

2.5

Web-based and interactive

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶

- New York Times' Mapping America
- Washington Post's Top Secret America
- Wall Street Journal's What They Know
- Harvard's Berkman Center for Internet & Society Mapping the Persian Blogosphere

<□>

3

▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶
 ▲□▶

3.1 'Chartju<u>nk'</u>

<□▶ <⊡▶ <≧▶ <≧▶



Figure: Prominent example

◀◻▶ ◀▱▸ ◀≧▸

٩



Figure: Prominent example

3.2 Misleading graphs

◀◻▶ ◀@▶ ◀壹▶ ◀壹▶



New York Times, August 9, 1978, p. D-2.

<□▶ <□

Figure: First example









Figure: Third example

<□ > < □

3.3 Poor understanding of statistics

<□▶ <⊡▶ <≧▶ <≧▶



Figure: First example

A Plateau of Happiness

A country's wealth may not always dictate the happiness of its people.

As part of the World Values Survey project, inhabitants of different countries and territories were asked how happy or satisfied they were. Below is a sampling of happiness rankings, along with economic status.



*Poll results for these countries were from 1995.

Source: Ronald Inglehart, "Human Beliefs and Values : A Cross-Cultural Sourcebook Based on the 1999-2002 Values Surveys"

Figure: Second example, IP, IE, IE, IE, IE, OQ

3.4

Poor choice of graphical display

◀◻▶ ◀氬▶ ◀壹▶ ◀壹▶

Average Voltage in Seawater



Figure: First example



Figure: Second example

< □ ▶

∢∄▶ ∢≧▶

٩



Figure: Alternative to second example

<□> <□> <□> <⊇>

4

Chart 2 - Total Expenditures on Health as a Percentage Share of GDP, by OECD Country, 2004



Source: OECD Health Data 2007.

Note: For the United States the 2004 data reported here do not match the 2004 data point for the United States in Chart 1 since the OECD uses a slightly different definition of "total expenditures on health" than that used in the National Health Expenditure Accounts.

<□▶ <⊡▶ <≣▶

Figure: Third example

Expenditures on Health as Percentage of GDP for OECD Countries, 2004

United States						• • • • •	
Switzerland				••••			
France				•			
Germany			•••••				
Austria			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Belgium			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Iceland			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Portugal			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Canada			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Nonway			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Greece							
Australia			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
Netherlands			•				
Denmark							
Sweden			•				
Italy		• • • •					
New Zealand		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
I Inited Kingdom		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Spain		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Hundary		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Janan		••••••					
Luxembourg		•••••					
Turkey		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Ireland		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •					
Finalnd		•••					
Czech Republic		•					
Slovak Republic		•					
Mexico	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Poland	••••••						
Korea	• • •						
litered							
	1						
	6	8	10	12	14		
	Share of GDP (%)						

Figure: Reworked graph

<□▶ <⊡▶ <≧▶ <

4

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ => ▲ => ● <</p>

Tools

┥□▶ ┥@▶ ┥┋▶ ┥┋▶ ┋

To cover in the remaining minutes:

- Gapminder;
- IBM's Many Eyes;
- Web interface for ggplot2;

4.1 <u>IBM's Many</u> Eyes

◀◻▶ ◀▱▸ ◀壹▶ ◀壹▶

http://www-958.ibm.com/software/data/cognos/manyeyes/

A "shared visualization and discovery" service, still in experimental phase

4.2

Hans Rosling's Gapminder project

◀◻▶ ◀▱▸ ◀壹▶ ◀壹▶



Figure: Hans Rosling, Professor of International Health, Karolinska Institute, Stockholm, Sweden

↓□▶ ↓@▶ ↓ ≥▶ ↓ ≥



- The problem he identifies: there is an abundance of yearly indicators for phenomena, scattered in the public domain
- Creates Gapminder Foundation and develops the Trendalyzer software (later sold to Google)

┥□▶ ┥@▶ ┥┋▶ ┥┋▶ ┋

• Recently: Gapminder Desktop



Google develops, on the basis of Trendalyzer, Google Public Data Explorer (http://www.google.com/publicdata/directory)

4.3

Jeroen Ooms' ggplot2 interface

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶ < □ ▶



- R package developed by Hadley Wickham, on the basis of Leland Wilkinson's ideas regarding visualization (*The Grammar of Graphics*)
- Heavily code-based
- Jeroen Ooms adds a simple web-based interface to the package (other packages: IRT, lme4)

<□▶ <┌─ ▶ < 글 ▶ < 글 ▶ =

Still worthy to explore for a bit:

- Drillet (basic, but free)
- StatSilk (maps with indicators)
- GNU Octave (high-level interpreted language for numerical computations)

< □ ▶ < □ ▶ < ≡ ▶ < ≡ ▶ < ≡

- IBM's Many Bills (specialized) (http://manybills.researchlabs.ibm.com/)
- Wordle (word clouds)

5

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ => ▲ => ● <</p>

Conclusion

Good data visualization involves thinking about the argument to be made, making choices among alternatives, and taking into consideration issues such as audience, parsimony, integrity. It will rarely result from canned routines and default options found in statistical packages.

Thank you!

◆□ ▶ ◆ 畳 ▶ ◆ 重 ▶ ◆ 唱 ▶ ◆ □ ▶

References I

Books used for ideas or graphs:

- Tufte, Edward R. 1997. *Visual Explanations Images and Quantities, Evidence and Narrative*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Tufte, Edward R. 2001. *The Visual Display of Quantitative Information*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Tufte, Edward R. 2006. *Beautiful Evidence*. Cheshire, CT: Graphics Press.
- Wickham, Hadley. 2009. ggplot2 Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer.
- Wilkinson, Leland. 2005. *The Grammar of Graphics*. New York: Springer.

References II

Internet sources where some of the graphs can be found:

- http://www.informationisbeautiful.net/(David McCandless, UK)
- http://www.datavis.ca/gallery/index.php(Michael Friendly, York University)
- http://flowingdata.com/
- http://www.infosthetics.com/
- http://senseable.mit.edu/(SENSEable City Laboratory, MIT)
- http://chartporn.org/2012/03/02/improving-onminard/
- http://igraphicsexplained.blogspot.com/

References III

Web-based software:

- Gapminder Desktop (http://www.gapminder.org/downloads/)
- IBM's Many Eyes (http://www-958.ibm.com/software/data/cognos/manyeyes/)

┥□▶ ┥@▶ ┥┋▶ ┥┋▶ ┋

- Jeroen Ooms' ggplot2 interface (http://rweb.stat.ucla.edu/ggplot2/)
- StatSilk (http://www.statsilk.com/)
- Wordle (http://www.wordle.net/)